



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,507	06/30/2000	Salvador Palanca	042390.P8918	9545
7:	590 01/21/2004		EXAMI	NER
Sanjeet Dutta			THAI, TUAN V	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			2186	\wedge
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/608,507	PALANCA ET AL.	λ
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan V. Thai	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the name of the set forth in the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of th d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee on the final Office action; or (2) as set for	under orth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	g the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/608 507

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment has been considered, however raises new issues that would require further consideration. For example, claims 1, 7 and 11 have been amended to include "wherein the integrated device includes a graphics processor and a central processing unit..

TUAN V. THAY